

Consistency of Vanishing Smooth Fictitious Play

(In collaboration with Michel Benaïm)

Mathieu Faure

UNINE

June 21th 2011

Two-player normal form game

Let us consider a 2-person game in normal form :

- Player 1 (Decision Maker), Player 2 (Nature),
- finite sets of actions I and L ; sets of mixed actions : $\Delta(I)$, $\Delta(L)$,
- payoff function of DM : π ($I \times L$ matrix)

Two-player normal form game

Let us consider a **2**-person game in normal form :

- Player **1** (Decision Maker), Player **2** (Nature),
- finite sets of actions I and L ; sets of mixed actions : $\Delta(I)$, $\Delta(L)$,
- payoff function of DM : π ($I \times L$ matrix)
- we assume that agents play **repeatedly**. Let $h_n = (i_1, l_1, \dots, i_n, l_n)$ be history up to time n .

Two-player normal form game

Let us consider a **2**-person game in normal form :

- Player **1** (Decision Maker), Player **2** (Nature),
- finite sets of actions I and L ; sets of mixed actions : $\Delta(I)$, $\Delta(L)$,
- payoff function of DM : π ($I \times L$ matrix)
- we assume that agents play **repeatedly**. Let $h_n = (i_1, l_1, \dots, i_n, l_n)$ be history up to time n .
- a strategy for DM is a map σ :

$$\cup_n \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \Delta(I), \quad h_n \mapsto \sigma(h_n) \in \Delta(I).$$

Two-player normal form game

Let us consider a **2**-person game in normal form :

- Player **1** (Decision Maker), Player **2** (Nature),
- finite sets of actions I and L ; sets of mixed actions : $\Delta(I)$, $\Delta(L)$,
- payoff function of DM : π ($I \times L$ matrix)
- we assume that agents play **repeatedly**. Let $h_n = (i_1, l_1, \dots, i_n, l_n)$ be history up to time n .
- a strategy for DM is a map σ :

$$\cup_n \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \Delta(I), \quad h_n \mapsto \sigma(h_n) \in \Delta(I).$$

- A pair of strategies (σ, τ) induces a probability measure \mathbb{P} on $(I \times L)^{\mathbb{N}}$; we assume that agents play **independently** :

$$\mathbb{P}(i_{n+1} = i, l_{n+1} = l \mid \mathcal{H}_n) = \mathbb{P}(i_{n+1} = i \mid \mathcal{H}_n) \mathbb{P}(l_{n+1} = l \mid \mathcal{H}_n).$$

Regret

Empirical distribution of moves and the average realized payoff up to time

n :

$$x_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{i_k}, \quad y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{l_k}, \quad \pi_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi(i_k, l_k).$$

Define

$$\Pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : y \mapsto \max_i \pi(i, y).$$

Regret

Empirical distribution of moves and the average realized payoff up to time

n :

$$x_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{i_k}, \quad y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{l_k}, \quad \pi_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi(i_k, l_k).$$

Define

$$\Pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : y \mapsto \max_i \pi(i, y).$$

Definition (regret at stage n)

$$e_n = \dots$$

Regret

Empirical distribution of moves and the average realized payoff up to time

n :

$$x_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{i_k}, \quad y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{l_k}, \quad \pi_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi(i_k, l_k).$$

Define

$$\Pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : y \mapsto \max_i \pi(i, y).$$

Definition (regret at stage n)

$$e_n = \underbrace{\max_{i \in I} \pi(i, y_n)}_{\Pi(y_n)} .$$

Regret

Empirical distribution of moves and the average realized payoff up to time

n :

$$x_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{i_k}, \quad y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{l_k}, \quad \pi_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi(i_k, l_k).$$

Define

$$\Pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : y \mapsto \max_i \pi(i, y).$$

Definition (regret at stage n)

$$e_n = \underbrace{\max_{i \in I} \pi(i, y_n)}_{\Pi(y_n)} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi(i_k, l_k)}_{\pi_n}.$$

Consistency

Definition

Player 1's strategy is *consistent* if, regardless of the strategy τ of nature,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} e_n \leq 0, \text{ almost surely.}$$

It is η -consistent provided

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} e_n \leq \eta, \text{ almost surely.}$$

Fictitious play

Let br be the *best response map* :

$$br : Y \rightrightarrows X, y \mapsto \operatorname{Argmax}_{x \in X} \pi(x, y)$$

Fictitious play

Let br be the *best response map* :

$$br : Y \rightrightarrows X, y \mapsto \operatorname{Argmax}_{x \in X} \pi(x, y)$$

Definition

The strategy of DM σ is a *fictitious play strategy* if, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sigma(h_n) \in br(\tilde{y}_n),$$

Fictitious play

Let br be the *best response map* :

$$br : Y \rightrightarrows X, \quad y \mapsto \operatorname{Argmax}_{x \in X} \pi(x, y)$$

Definition

The strategy of DM σ is a *fictitious play strategy* if, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sigma(h_n) \in br(\tilde{y}_n),$$

with $\tilde{y}_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \underbrace{y_0}_{\text{prior}} + \frac{n}{n+1} y_n$.

Remark

FP is not consistent

Perturbed payoff function and Smooth best response

Consider the perturbed payoff function

$$\tilde{\pi}^\varepsilon(x, y) := \pi(x, y) + \varepsilon \rho(x),$$

where

- ε is a positive parameter,
- $\rho(x) = -\sum_k x_k \log x_k$.

Then we have

Perturbed payoff function and Smooth best response

Consider the perturbed payoff function

$$\tilde{\pi}^\varepsilon(x, y) := \pi(x, y) + \varepsilon\rho(x),$$

where

- ε is a positive parameter,
- $\rho(x) = -\sum_k x_k \log x_k$.

Then we have

- For all $y \in Y$, $\text{Argmax}_{x \in X} \tilde{\pi}^\varepsilon(\cdot, y)$ reduces to one point,

Perturbed payoff function and Smooth best response

Consider the perturbed payoff function

$$\tilde{\pi}^\varepsilon(x, y) := \pi(x, y) + \varepsilon \rho(x),$$

where

- ε is a positive parameter,
- $\rho(x) = -\sum_k x_k \log x_k$.

Then we have

- For all $y \in Y$, $\text{Argmax}_{x \in X} \tilde{\pi}^\varepsilon(\cdot, y)$ reduces to one point,
- we can define the smooth best response map $\tilde{\mathbf{br}} : Y \rightarrow X$:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{br}}(y, \varepsilon) := \text{Argmax}_{x \in X} \tilde{\pi}^\varepsilon(x, y).$$

Smooth fictitious play

Definition (Smooth fictitious play)

σ is a smooth fictitious play (SFP(ε)) strategy for player 1 if, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sigma(h_n) = \tilde{\mathbf{br}}(y_n, \varepsilon),$$

Smooth fictitious play

Definition (Smooth fictitious play)

σ is a smooth fictitious play (SFP(ε)) strategy for player 1 if, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sigma(h_n) = \tilde{\mathbf{br}}(y_n, \varepsilon),$$

Theorem (Fudenberg-Levine)

Given $\eta > 0$, SFP(ε) is η -consistent, provided ε is small enough.

Smooth fictitious play

Definition (Smooth fictitious play)

σ is a smooth fictitious play (SFP(ε)) strategy for player 1 if, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sigma(h_n) = \tilde{\mathbf{br}}(y_n, \varepsilon),$$

Theorem (Fudenberg-Levine)

Given $\eta > 0$, SFP(ε) is η -consistent, provided ε is small enough.

Benaïm-Hofbauer-Sorin (2006) gave an alternative proof using stochastic approximations technics.

Stochastic Approximation Algorithms, the ODE method

M compact subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Let $(v_n)_n$ be a M -valued stochastic process governed by the recursive formula

$$v_{n+1} - v_n - \frac{1}{n+1} U_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} f(v_n),$$

where

- f is a *Lipschitz* vector field, inducing a flow Φ ,
- $(U_n)_n$ is a *bounded* sequence of random variables.

Question : can we say anything about the (qualitative) asymptotic behavior of $(v_n)_n$?

Mean ODE

$$v_{n+1} = v_n + \frac{1}{n+1}(f(v_n) + U_{n+1}), \quad (1)$$

Consider the mean ODE :

$$\dot{v} = f(v). \quad (2)$$

Mean ODE

$$v_{n+1} = v_n + \frac{1}{n+1}(f(v_n) + U_{n+1}), \quad (1)$$

Consider the mean ODE :

$$\dot{v} = f(v). \quad (2)$$

Link between (1) and (2) : if $(U_n)_n$ is a martingale difference : $\mathbb{E}(U_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = 0$, the asymptotic behavior of the paths $(v_n(\omega))_n$ should be related to the solution curves of (2).

Convergence of Stochastic Approximation Algorithms

Definition

A compact set A is an *attractor* for Φ if there exists an open set $U \supset A$ such that, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\Phi_t(U) \subset N^\varepsilon(A),$$

provided t is large enough. If $U = M$, A is a *global attractor*.

Convergence of Stochastic Approximation Algorithms

Definition

A compact set A is an *attractor* for Φ if there exists an open set $U \supset A$ such that, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\Phi_t(U) \subset N^\varepsilon(A),$$

provided t is large enough. If $U = M$, A is a *global attractor*.

Theorem (Limit set theorem, Benaïm, 1996)

- The limit set of $(v_n)_n$ is almost surely internally chain transitive for Φ , i.e. a compact, invariant and attractor-free,
- if A is a global attractor, $\mathcal{L}((v_n)_n) \subset A$ almost surely.

Stochastic approximations related to a differential inclusion

Recursive form :

$$v_{n+1} - v_n - \frac{1}{n+1}U_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}f(v_n), \quad (3)$$

Stochastic approximations related to a differential inclusion

Recursive form :

$$v_{n+1} - v_n - \frac{1}{n+1}U_{n+1} \in \frac{1}{n+1}F(v_n), \quad (3)$$

where F is a sufficiently regular set-valued map.

Stochastic approximations related to a differential inclusion

Recursive form :

$$v_{n+1} - v_n - \frac{1}{n+1}U_{n+1} \in \frac{1}{n+1}F(v_n), \quad (3)$$

where F is a sufficiently regular set-valued map.

Mean Differential Inclusion :

$$\frac{d}{dt}v(t) \in F(v(t)) \quad (4)$$

Limit set theorem

Definition

A solution is an absolutely continuous map such that, for almost every t , (4) holds. The flow induced by (4) is given by

$$\Phi_t(v_0) := \{v(t) : v(\cdot) \text{ solution}, v(0) = v_0\}.$$

Limit set theorem

Definition

A solution is an absolutely continuous map such that, for almost every t , (4) holds. The flow induced by (4) is given by

$$\Phi_t(v_0) := \{v(t) : v(\cdot) \text{ solution}, v(0) = v_0\}.$$

Theorem (Benaïm-Hofbauer-Sorin, 2005)

- a) The limit set of $(v_n)_n$ is almost surely internally chain transitive for Φ , i.e. a compact, invariant and attractor-free,
- b) if A is a global attractor, $\mathcal{L}((v_n)_n) \subset A$ almost surely.

Back to SFP(ε)

State variable : $v_n = (x_n, y_n, \pi_n)$. We have

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = \frac{1}{n+1} (\delta_{i_{n+1}} - x_n).$$

We have

$$x_{n+1} - x_n - \frac{1}{n+1} \underbrace{\left[\delta_{i_{n+1}} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{r}^\varepsilon(y_n) \right]}_{\delta_{i_{n+1}} - \mathbb{E}(\delta_{i_{n+1}} | \mathcal{H}_n)} = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{r}^\varepsilon(y_n) - x_n \right)$$

Proof of η -consistency via stochastic approximations

We can prove that

$$v_{n+1} - v_n - \frac{1}{n+1}U_{n+1} \in \frac{1}{n+1}F^\varepsilon(v_n),$$

where

- The set-valued map F^ε is very regular,
- As a consequence, BHS results apply and, if the differential inclusion $\dot{v}(t) \in F^\varepsilon(v(t))$ admits a global attractor A then $\mathcal{L}((v_n)_n) \subset A$.

Proof of η -consistency via stochastic approximations

Theorem (BHS, 2006)

Given $\eta > 0$, for ε small enough we have

- the set $A := \{v = (x, y, \pi) : \Pi(y) - \pi \leq \eta\}$ contains a global attractor for the differential inclusion $\dot{v}(t) \in F^\varepsilon(v(t))$,
- consequently

$$\limsup_n \Pi(y_n) - \pi_n \leq \eta \text{ almost surely.}$$

A natural question

What happens when the parameter ε is replaced by a vanishing sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow_n 0$?

A natural question

What happens when the parameter ε is replaced by a vanishing sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow_n 0$?

Definition (Vanishing Smooth fictitious play)

Given a sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow_n 0$, we say that Player 1 plays accordingly to a vanishing smooth fictitious play strategy (VSFP(ε_n)) if, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sigma(h_n) = \tilde{\mathbf{br}}(y_n, \varepsilon_n).$$

A natural question

What happens when the parameter ε is replaced by a vanishing sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow_n 0$?

Definition (Vanishing Smooth fictitious play)

Given a sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow_n 0$, we say that Player 1 plays accordingly to a vanishing smooth fictitious play strategy (VSFP(ε_n)) if, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sigma(h_n) = \tilde{\mathbf{br}}(y_n, \varepsilon_n).$$

Remark

VSFP is not consistent, if $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n}$

Statement of the main result

Theorem (Benaïm, Faure)

If $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n^\alpha}$ ($\alpha < 1$) then $VSFP(\varepsilon_n)$ is consistent.

- The proof relies on set-valued dynamical systems approach, similarly to BHS,
- unfortunately, we have to enter the realm of *nonautonomous* differential inclusions,

Sketch of the proof, nonautonomous DI

The stochastic process $(v_n)_n$ can be written as

$$v_{n+1} - v_n - \frac{1}{n+1}U_{n+1} \in \frac{1}{n+1}F_n(v_n), \quad (5)$$

where

- $F_n = F^{\varepsilon_n}$,
- $(U_n)_n$ is a bounded martingale difference.

Sketch of the proof, nonautonomous DI

The stochastic process $(v_n)_n$ can be written as

$$v_{n+1} - v_n - \frac{1}{n+1}U_{n+1} \in \frac{1}{n+1}F_n(v_n), \quad (5)$$

where

- $F_n = F^{\varepsilon_n}$,
- $(U_n)_n$ is a bounded martingale difference.

Mean nonautonomous Differential Inclusion :

$$\frac{d}{dt}v(t) \in F(t, v(t)) := F^{\varepsilon_{m(t)}}(v(t)), \quad (6)$$

with $m(t) := \sup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n+1} < t \right\}$

Sketch of the proof, why ϵ_n matters ?

- The qualitative results proved by BHS on the asymptotic behavior of $(v_n)_n$ in the autonomous case do not apply anymore,
- the good news is that the nonautonomous map F governing the system is very regular (Hausdorff-Lipschitz) :

$$d_H(F(t, v), F(t, v')) \leq L(t) \|v - v'\|, \quad \forall t > 0, v, v' \in M$$

with Lipschitz "constant" $L(t)$ going to infinity at a speed which depends on the sequence $(\epsilon_n)_n$. More precisely $L(t) = \mathcal{O}(e^{\alpha t})$ if $\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{n^\alpha}$.

- if $L(t)$ does not go to infinity too fast, we can prove that the asymptotic behavior of $(v_n)_n$ is again related to (6).